

LLG Performance Assessment

LLG Performance Assessment
Kalait Subcounty
(Vote Code: 273858)

Score 44/100 (44%)

No. Performance Measure

Scoring Guide

Score Justification

Assessment area: A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures

The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards

Maximum score is 2

Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.

There was evidence PDCs composition for two parishes.

PDC composition for Amoni parish

- Chairperson –
 Odeke Sam
- 2. NRM Ejulat Vinces
- 3. Women -Abogo Rudia
- 4. PWD -Opidi Richard
- 5. Eldery -Ekabet Bejamin
- 6. Youth Okisa Benson

PDC composition for Angololo parish

- Chairperson Odeke Sam
- 2. NRM Ejulat Vinces
- 3. Women -Abogo Rudia
- 4. PWD -Opidi Richard
- 5. Eldery -Ekabet Bejamin
- 6. Youth Okisa Benson

PDC composition for Kalait, Morekebu and Kodike parish missing

Only one minute of community mobilization for individuals and groups to participate in government programmes for Amoni parish held on 7/8/2023 and 13/2/2024 but not signed.

Minutes of vetting/ appraisal for Amoni parish seen by village for poultry, piggery, Maize and cassava

Minutes of vetting for Amoni parish seen. Vetting meeting held on the 1/7/2023

2	LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines. Maximum score is 2	Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.	0	Data was not availed
3	The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG: i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs & CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0	2	Mapping done
	Maximum score is 0	Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on: ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0	0	This evidence was not provided
Ass	essment area: B. Plann	Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on: iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0 ing and Budgeting	0	This evidence was not provided
4	The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6	Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY: i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan III; score 1 or else 0	0	In the development plan page 133 maintenance of Morukebu to Omedoi and in annual workplan page 10 Kangula B to Awesit, Paradise to Angolol, Alupe B Alecho to kamoyo not in the development

plan

		Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY: ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson score 1 or else 0.	0	There was no evidence of planning meetings
		Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY: iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0	0	There was no report of budget conference
		iv. That the LLG budget include investments to be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0	0	LLG budget has not been prepared
		v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0	0	Project profiles not prepared
		vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0	0	Budget has not been submitted
5	Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0	0	There LLG prepared procurement plan. However, submission was past the deadline
6	Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0	2	DDEG Projects in the annual workplan include Opening of Kagura B to Awesit CAR, Maintenance of Alupe B, Alecho to Komoyo raod

Assessment area: C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration

7	LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization) Maximum score is 1	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.	0	LLG did not collect OSR budget within +_10%
8	Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year. Maximum score 1	Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0	0	Actual 2023/2024 - 3,637,140 Actual 2022/2023-4,379,708 Revenue declined by 16%
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	0	No evidence showing that the LLG shared OSR with the administrative units
		Evidence that the LLG: ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0	0	No evidence shaing of OSR with the administrative units. 20% of 4,379,708 % =4,470,000/4,379,000 = 102%
		Evidence that the LLG: iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0 Evidence that the LLG:	0	There was no evidence of expenditure on operational and maintenance
Ass	essment area: D. Finar	iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.	Ü	notice board
10	The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0	4	Final Accounts submitted to Auditor General on the 28/08/2024 which is with in the time frame

The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0

There LLG submitted quarterly financial and progress reports to CAO with inclusion of funding for the PDM

Q1 submitted on 12/10/2023

1

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0

Q2 submitted on 9/1/2023

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on 1 time:

iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0

Q3 submitted on 12/04/2024

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0

Q4 submitted on 5/07/2024. All the quarterly reoprts were submitted to CAO's office in time

Assessment area: E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery

12

Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:

(i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0

All LLG staffs had been appraised in the FY 2023/2024.

Appraisal forms the following staffs provided.

1. Apio Esther PC appraised on

2

2. Nabongo Rehema PC appraised on

Evidence that the	SAS/Town	Clerk	appraised
staff in the LLG:			

(ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0

All school Head Teachers had been appraised.

- 1. Akurut Lucy HT p/s -15/12/2023
- 2. Amongin Annet hyeng HT p/s -09/12/2023

2

2

- 3. Adode Joseph Willy HT -05/12/2024
- 4. Ikemer Richard Opaye – 5/12/2023
- 5. Amongin Harriet

Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:

(iii) HC III & II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else

Health In charge had been appraised.

- Akol Robina Incharge Atangi HCIII
- 2. Anyara Judith nursing assistant Amoni HCII

13 Staff duty attendance

Evidence that the LLG has

Maximum score is 6

(i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else

Staff structure and staff list not displayed on the office notice board

Monthly staff attendance analyzed as follows;

- 1. June 2024 dated 30/6/2024 received on 01/07/2024.
- 2. May 2024 31/5/2024 received on 31/05/2024
- 3. April 2024 30/04/2024 received on 30 /04/2024
- 4. March 2024 30/03/2024 received on 30/03/2024
- 5. February 28/02/2024 received on 28/02/2024
- 6. January dated 31/01/2024 received on 31/01/2024

3

- 7. December 2023 dated 29/12/2023 received 29/12/2023
- 8. November 2023 dated 30/11/2023 received 30/11/2023
- 9. October 2023 dated 30/10/2023 received 30/10/2023
- 10. September 2023 dated 29/09/2023 received 29/09/2023
- 11. August 2024 dated 29/08/2023 received 29/08/2023
- 12. July 2023 dated 27/07/23 received on 30/07/2023

Evidence that the LLG has

(ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0

Assessment area: F. Implementation and Execution

The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities

Maximum score is 2

Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, 2 budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0

There was evidence to show that the LG spent DDEG funds on capital investments. The LLG received 10,402,800 and spent all

Procured 2 office tables and 4 office chairs at 1,400,000

Maintenance of Kalait to Kamoyo CAR (3km) at 5,897,000

Maintenance of Alecho to Alupe CAR 2km

The LLG spent the funds as per budget

Maximum score is 2

Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%:

Score 2

Total budget - 53,922,494

Actual - 46,848,260

Performance - 84%

Variation - 14%

The LLG deviated 14% from the sector ceilings and programs

16

Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget

Maximum score is 3

Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four):

If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3

If 70% -90%: Score 2

If less than 70 %: Score 0.

The LLG procured 2 office tables and 4 office chairs

Maintenance of Kalait to Kamoyo CAR 3km at 5,897,000

3

Maintenance of Alecho to Alupe CAR 2km

Assessment area: G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

17

The LLG has implemented environmental and social safeguards during the previous FY

Maximum score is 2

Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0

Environment and social screening form, costed ESMP not provided

The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System

Maximum score is 2

(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0

O This evidence was not provided

(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties 0 know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0

This evidence was not provided

19

The LLG has a functional land management system

Maximum score 1

If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0

List of appointments letters of area land committee dated 27/09/2023 seen.

- 1. Okiru Everline
- 2. Emidil Semeyo
- 3. Kapule Charles
- 4. Otenge Remigio
- 5. Emukule Adewo Jerusi

1

Minutes of area land committee meetings seen. Meetings minutes dated 11/12/2023, 06/11/2023 and 08/06/2024

Assessment area: H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)

20

Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY

Maximum score is 3

Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score $\,^{0}$

3, else score 0

This evidence was not provided

22

Maximum score is 3

Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools

Maximum score is 4

According to the monitoring reports, the LLG did not cover all the schools.

Name of school Term III Term II Term I

Amoni COU p/s 17/11/2023 27/05/2024 16/02/2024

Omiria ps 27/05/2024 5/2/2024

Amoni ps 17/11/223 27/5/2024 5/02/2024

2

Kalait ps 27/05/2024 5/02/2024

Morukebu ps 27/9/2023 27/08/2024 5/02/2024

Existence and Minutes of SMC seen. functionality of School

Management Morukebu ps - 7/5/2024 - 23/02/2024 and 24/06/2024

Kalait ps - 22/9//2023, 08/02/2024, 14/07/2024

Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, 3 else score 0

Amoni ps - 30/04/2024, 03/08/2023

Amoni COU p/s -25/07/2023, 20/02/2024, 03/08/2024

Omiria ps -24/11/2023, 22/02/2024

Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY:

If all schools (100%) - score 4

If 80 - 99% - score 2

If 60 to 79% score 1

Below 60% score 0

Assessment area: I. Primary Health Care Services Management

23

Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY

Maximum score is 3

Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0

This evidence was not provided

24

The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY

Maximum score is 4

Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY , score 4 $\,$ 0 or else score 0

Monitoring reports of health service delivery not seen

Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee

Maximum score is 3

Composition of HUMC for all health centres seen.

Composition of HUMC for Amoni Health Centre II as per the appointments dated 07/02/2023

- 1. Benard Oliauna
- 2. Daniel Nyapidi
- 3. Alice Emukule
- 4. Esther Apio
- 5. Peter Isogol

Evidence of HUMC meetings for all the quarters provided

Amoni H/C II

Q1 - 7/9/2023

Q2 - 07/12/2023

Q3 - 31/01/2024

3

Q4 - 11/06/2024

Composition for Atange HCIII

- 1. Okoriot Ben
- 2. Amasaga Micali
- 3. Ekitabi Elisa
- 4. Emejje Sam
- 5. Obwan Sam
- 6. Amalia Martin
- 7. Adude Joseph

Minutes for Atangi HCIII

Q1 - 8/9/2023, 19/7/2023

Q2 - Missing

Q3 - 31/01/2024, 15/03/2024

Q4 - missing

Assessment area: J. Water & Environment Services Management

26

Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets

Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0

Evidence that the LLG have functional Health

unit Management Committee for all Health

Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0

0 Water request not provided

_

Maximum score is 3

Maximum score is 2

36

awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0

crops, animals and fisheries

Maximum score is 2

The LLG has carried out If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on monitoring activities on agricultural production production activities for for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0

sensitization on farmers and farmer groups on tick and tsetse fly control. Submission to DPO not done

Monitoring of animals given to PWD's under PWD grant. Report dated 27/06/2024

0

Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out

Maximum score is 2

If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.

The LLG extension staff participated in training on farmers on enterprise selection under PDM. Training eas per parish –

- 1. Angololo parish 9/4/2024
- 2. Kalait parish 13/4/2024

0

0

- 3. Kodike parish 28/04/2024
- Morukwbu parish
 4/5/2024

Submission not yet done

38

The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups

Maximum score is 2

If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0

Famer field visits and follow ups of communities on government funded enterprises