



LLG Performance Assessment

LLG Performance Assessment

Magola Subcounty

(Vote Code: 236990)

Score 79/100 (79%)

No.	Performance Measure	Scoring Guide	Score Justification
Assessment area: A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures			
1	The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.	LLG availed PDM guidelines LLG as well availed composition of PDCs for parishes in the LLG. Magola Parish 1. Ofwono Godfrey: LC II Chairperson 2. Nyapendi Harriet:: Women Representative. 3. Onyango Geresom: Youth Representative. 4. Owere Godfrey: PWDs. 5. Onyango Patrick : NRM 6. Otaba Wilson : Elderly 7. Okectho Japeth : Parish Chief/Secretary. Papoli Parish 1. Ogoall Godfrey: LC II Chairperson 2. Nyaketcho Teddy: Women Representative. 3. Okello John: PWD 4. Ojuki John : Elderly Representative 5. Ekwaro Andrew: NRM. 6. Ojangole Wilberforce: Youth Representative. 7. Okumu Richard: Parish Chief/Secretary. Gule Parish 1. Okumu Rimon: LC II Chairperson 2. Okware Erukana: Parish Chief/Secreatry 3. Akumu Robina: Women Representative 4. Ochieng Hussein: PWD 5. Okectho Constant: Elderly 6. Owino Yesse: Youth Representative. 7. Ofwono Mutosa: NRM representative. Poyameri Parish 1. Okectho Lino: LC II 2. Obwino Albert: Parish Chief 3. Nyailoi Mary : Women Representaive 4. Odoi Eric: PWDs 5. Okoth William: elderly 6. Ochandi David: Youth Representative 7. Kasolo Apollo: NRM

LLG availed PDC minutes.

- 1. Minutes of PDC meeting held on 14/12/2023 at Asinge C.O.U
- 2. Minutes of PDC meeting held on 24/01/2024; Meeting held at Posuna B, Gule Parish
- 3. Poyameri Parish PDC meeting held on 6/6/2024 at Aisnge C.O.U
- 4. PDC meeting held in Magola A on 29/8/2023 of Enterprise groups in Magola Parish; Wayforward; monitoring of PDM beneficiaries.

LLG availed reports on field mobilization

- 1. LLG availed poyameri PDC Monitoring government programs held on 7/06/2024
- 2. PDC monitoring dated 7/06/2024.
- 3. Poyameri PDC meeting held on 6/6/2024 at Asinge C.O.U

LLG did not avail list of proposal submitted fo reveolving fund.

2	LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines. Maximum score is 2	Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.	2
---	---	---	---

LLG availed parish data compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines,

Parish Data for all parishes operating in the were availed well documented disaggreagted by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM guidelines.

3

The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that the LLG:

i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs & CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0

2

LLG mapped NGOS, CBOs, CSOs operating in the LLG

At time of assessment; LLG availed CBOs and NGOs mapped operating in the LLG among which include;

1. AFRICA 2000 Network
2. Mifumi
3. Niyetu
4. PACODEF
5. Trees for the Future
6. Rotary Clubs

Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:

ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0

0

LLG did not provide guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY.

They did not provide consulatauve meeting minutes for engaging eligible staekholders on programes/activities to be implemented within the respective parishes.

Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:

iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0

0

LLG did not provide guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parishes.

LLG did not avail sensitization reports in this regard.

Assessment area: B. Planning and Budgeting

4

The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:

i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan III; score 1 or else 0

1

There was consistency established

On page 7 of AWP; under works and technical services; investment noted is opening of rwanda-mile 10 CAR

on page 14 of budget under works and technical services; Opening of rwanda-mile 10 CAR

On LLG Five year Development plan under works and technical services; on page 97 enatils as well opening of rwanda-mile 10 CAR

Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:		LLG did not avail list of ranked priorities to ascertain if they incorporated in the AWP and budget, duly signed by the Parish Chief of the respective parish(es) and PDC Chairperson.
ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson score 1 or else 0.	0	
Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:		LLG availed budget conference Report dated 31/8/2023.
iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0	1	The outcomes discussed in the budget conference are what was planned and budgeted for in the LLG council approved workplan and budget for the current FY. For example among the proposals stipulated in the budget conference report under works and technical services; planned investments of Opening of Rwanda-Mile 11 CAR in poyameri parish is stipulated on the LLG council approved council workplan and budget.
iv. That the LLG budget include investments to be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0	1	LLG budget entails investments to be financed by LLG for example on page 2 of budget; electricity installations at Ug.Shs.1,000,000
v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0	0	LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and budget as per format in the NDP III. LLG availed project profiles for the following capital investments. 1. Opening of Rwanda Mile 11 T/C CARs 2. Maintenance of Mago P/S- Opendi Ongoro Segere Nambogo 3.6km CARs.
vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0	1	LLG budget was submitted to the District/ on 15/5/2024 which is within stipulated timeframe of before 15th May

5	Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement	
	Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0

LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) and submitted to PDU on 3/04/2024 for onward submisison to CAO's office approval. This is within stipulated timeframe of before 30th April of previous FY.

Procuremnts to be undertaken include among others;

1. Collection of stage park fees in mile 8 stage magola subcounty.
2. Installation of concrete one line culverts on yoboko -mile 10 bridge CAR.

Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY

Maximum score is 2

Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0

2

There is compliance established.

- DDEG IPF: 22,465,015.
 - 80% infrastructure component = 17,972,012.
 - Investments to be undertaken.
1. Opening of Rwanda mile 11- TC CAR at Ug.Shs.3,000,513.
 2. Maintenance of magola Primary School-Opendi Ongoro-Segere-Nambogo CARat Ug.Shs.5,000,000
- LLG budget well stipulates the investments on page 15.
 - 10% component of investment servicing including monitoring and evaluation: 2,246,502
 - Well stipulated on budget page 17 under planning department.
 - 8% component for parish planning including data collection: 1,797,201
 - Well stipulated on page 21 of the budget under Community based services department.
 - 2% component for Nutrition committee: 449,301
 - Well stipulated on page 20 of LLG budget under health department.

LLG availed composition of the nutrition committee.

1. Nahirya Peace : SAS: Chairperson
2. Opai Denis Emidil: CDO: Secreatry
3. Oketcho Lino: Member
4. Ogwom Valentine: member
5. Olowo Godfrey: Member
6. Abino Jnnifer: member
7. Okimau Charles: Member/ IN-Charge Poyameri Health Centre III

7

LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization)

Maximum score is 1

Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.

1

LLG availed AFS for FY 2023/2024

OSR budget: 13,045,402

OSR Actaul Collected: 13,045,402

Percentage performance.

- $\frac{13,045,402}{13,045,402} \times 100 = 100\%$
- 13,045,402
-
- The percenateg performance is within required +_10% of OSR budget collection performance.

8

Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year.

Maximum score 1

Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by 0 more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0

AFS for FY 2022/2023:

Actaul OSR collected; 14,090,560

AFS for FY 2023/2023

Actaul OSR collected: 13,045,402

Decrement: 1,045,158

- Percentage of Decrement= $\frac{1,045,158}{14,090,560} \times 100 = 7\%$
-
-
-
-
- LLG decreased in OSR collection by 7% as such did not increase by required percentage of by more than 5%

The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY

Maximum score 4

Evidence that the LLG:

i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.

0

LLG remitted mandatory of OSR to administrative units.

- Villages : 25%
- Parishes : 10%

LLG remitted Ug.Shs.400,000 to poyameri parish for community well cleaning activity and lumpsummed the expenditure on General supply of goods and services under works on page 53 of AFS.

The remitted amount is not within 10% amount required to be remitted to facilitate the Parish(es) activities because 10% equals 1,304,540 compared to the 400,000 remitted by the LLG yet for only one parish

Evidence that the LLG:

ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councillors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0

0

LLG used more than 20% of the OSR on councillors allowances without authority granted by the Ministry.

Actual revenue collected previous FY: 13,045,080.

20% of OSR collected = 2,609,080

On page 52 of AFS; councillor's allowances total to 5,350,000

Thus; LLG used more than 20% of the OSR collected on councillors allowances.

Evidence that the LLG:

iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0

0

LLG have not budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY as no evidence availed to ascertain expenses of O&M facilitated by OSR

Evidence that the LLG:

iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.

0

LLG Publicized OSR but not how it was used.

Assessment area: D. Financial Management

10	The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0	4	LLG availed AFS for FY 2023/2024 evidently submitted to Auditor General dated 28/8/2024 which is within the required time frame of by August 31st.
11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time: i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0 Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time: ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0 Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time: iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0 Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time: iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0	1 1 1 3	LLG submitted Quarter One financial and physical progress report on 5/10/2023 which is within required timeframe of by 15th October. LLG submitted Quarter two financial and physical progress report on 5/01/2024 which is within required timeframe of by 15th January LLG submitted Quarter three financial and physical progress report on 8/04/2024 which is within required timeframe of by 15th April LLG submitted Quarter four financial and physical progress report on 9/07/2024 which is within required timeframe of by 30th JULY

Assessment area: E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery

Appraisal of all staff
in the LLG in the
previous FY

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk
appraised staff in the LLG:

(i) All staff in the LLG including extension
workers in the previous FY (by 30th June):
score 2 or else 0

Nahirya peace -SAS appraised
on 28/6/2024 by Kagaba
Richard Ndora.

Oketcho Japheth Jerry- parish
Chief appraised on 28/06/2024

Owino Constantines -SAA
Appraised on 28/6/2024

Okware Erukana -Parish Chief
Appraised on 24/06/2024

Opai Denis Emidil-CDO
appraised on 28th /6/2024

Obwin Albert appraised on
28/6/2023

Olowo Godfrey -Agric Officer-
appraised on 28th /06/2024

Pajangango PS

Mugule Nimrod appraised on
29th /12/2023

Podut P/s

Obonny Esther appraised on

Nambogo P/S

Akeyo John Peter appraised on
31/12/2023

Papoli P/S

Odoi Nicholas appraised on

Magola PS

Owor Emmanuel appraised on

st Agnes Mella HT Agwom
Valentine appraised on
21/12/2023

Podut Primary School -Kisesi
Catherine,Papoli Primary
School Odoi Nicholas Ochwo,
Magola Primary school- Ayeet
Agnes all were appraised on
20th /12/2023.

Poyameri HCIII

Okimaru Charles -Clinical
Officer appraised on 28th
/06/2024 by Nahirya Peace,
SAS Magola.

The In- charge magola HC11
appraisals not seen.

Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk
appraised staff in the LLG:

(ii) Primary School Head teachers in public
primary schools in the previous school
calendar year (by 31st December) – score
2 or else 0

Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk
appraised staff in the LLG:

(iii) HC III & II In-charges in the previous FY
(by June 30th) – score 2 or else

0

13	Staff duty attendance	Evidence that the LLG has		
	Maximum score is 6	(i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0	3	LLG evidently publicized list of staff of LLG on notice board.
				The LLG Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO on the following months.
				Month submission date
				June 5/7/2024
				May 5/6/2024
				April 7/5/2024
		Evidence that the LLG has		march 5/4/2024
		(ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0	3	feb 6/3/2024
				Jan 5/feb/2024
				Dec 5/jan/2024
				November 7/12/2023
				October 7/11/2023
				September 5/10/2023
				August 5/9/2023
				July 5/8/2023

Assessment area: F. Implementation and Execution

The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities

Maximum score is 2

Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: 2
Score 2, or else score 0

DDEG IPF: 22,650,015

- 80% component of infrastructure developments: 17,972,012
- **Investments**
- Maintenance of CARs;
- 1. Segere A-B chongi- Mukono TC-Okoth OsunguCenter Pole, Mian road-Pakamulungi A-Pochulu; 2.5kmWell stipulated on page 11 of budget and page 53 of AFS under Componet of General supply of goods and services (Roads) under works and Technical Services.
- 10% investment servicing including monitoring and evaluation: 1,797,201
- LLG availed Q4 monitoring report dated 28/6/2024
- Q3 monitoring report dated 28/3/2024. on CARs worked on using DDEG.
- 8% component of parish planning including data collection: 1,437,761
- Well stipulated on the budget on page 17 under CBS and page 54 of AFS under planning named monitoring/ Data
- 2% Nutrition Committee: 449,301 under health department on page 16 on the budget
- On page 53 of AFS under componet of allowances inhealth department
- LLG availed meeting minutes for nutrition committee

Some of the action points

1. Meetings to be held every quarter
2. Appointments to last for two and half years.
3. Members to be availbale for all scheduled meetings of the committee

15	The LLG spent the funds as per budget Maximum score is 2	LLG availed AFS for previous FY Total budget of the LLG: 91,283,703 some of the main programs undertaken by the LLG 1. Roads costed: Ug.Shs.17,652,000 among which include; Asinge center pole: Ug.Shs.6,022,000; Eletricity installation: 148,000 and furniture and fitting purchases at 243,000. Total budget for main/sector programs= 24,443,000 Budget performance: 26.8% which is not within +_10% thus a deviation.
	Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%: Score 2	0
16	Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget Maximum score is 3 Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four) : If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3 If 70% -90%: Score 2 If less than 70 %: Score 0.	LLG SAS availed a report dated 29/05/2024 for projects implemented during the previous FY entailing their status as well. 1. Maintenance of magola Runbuluka T/C-Pokach Pimori-Moila CAR was completed- Maintained. 2. Opening of Asinge Centre pole-Pakamalungi AB CAR was completed -Openend 3. Opening of Osia-Pakamalungi AB papol CAR completed- Opened. All rge inesvtment projects for the LLG were completed asper workplan by end of FY(quarter four).

Assessment area: G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

17	The LLG has implemented environmental and social safeguards during the previous FY Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0	2	LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects LLG availed evidence of environmental screening form dated 29/6/2023 for the investments planned to be implemented. 1. Maintenance of segera b, Achong Osungu to Mukono TC 2. Maintenance of osia-pakamulungi A-B- Magoro A-B papoli conducted by Okware Erukan and Angura Emma Edry 3. Maintenance of Asinge-Centre-Pole pakamulngi A-B CAR
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System Maximum score is 2	(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0	1	LLG has a functional operational Grievance handling system. Composition 1. Nahirya Peace : SAS 2. Opai Denis Emidil: CDO 3. Olowo Godfrey: Agric 4. Opio Julius: Commercial 5. Owor Christine: Women Entrepreneur There is a grievance redress committee at subcounty level and all Parishes.
		(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0	1	Grievance redress committee for parishess availed by the SAS Magola Parish, Gule Parish Parish and Poyameri Parish were displayed on the notice boards.

19

The LLG has a functional land management system

Maximum score 1

If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0

1

LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land.

LLG availed composition and date of appointment

1. Ouma Anthony: : Min no.19/TDLG/C/24/11/2021
2. Ogwang Jerome: Min no. 19/TDLG/C/24/11/2021

LLG availed minutes of meetings held by the Area Land Committee.

Minutes dated 21/5/2024

Actions noted from the meeting

1. Need for sensitization on Land.
2. There is need to provide guidelines and policies to guide members.

Assessment area: H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)

20

Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY

Maximum score is 3

Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0

3

LLG conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery.

LLG availed a sensitization of community on school awareness held at center Dodo in poyameri parish dated 7/12/2023.

some of the waforwards

1. Parent should feed their children at school
2. No child should be found at home during school days
3. Parish Chiefs engage with school on children punishment at school;

Report prepared by Abwin Albert: Parish Chief.

Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools

Maximum score is 4

Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY:

- If all schools (100%) - score 4
- If 80 – 99% – score 2
- If 60 to 79% score 1
- Below 60% score 0

0

LLG did not monitor all schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY;

At time of asesment LLG did availed monitoring report dated 28/01/2024 for Term One for schools of Nambogogo Primary School, Pajangago Primary School, Magola Primary School.

Key issues noted in the reports

- 1. There is need to Feed of learners.
- 2. There is need to provode scholastic materials

However,LLG did not provide monioring reprots for other terms and other schools because the assessment requires atleast onece per term of the three terms and all schools operating in the LLG

Existence and
functionality of
School Management
Committees

Maximum score is 3

Evidence that the LLG have functional
school management committees in all
schools; score 3, else score 0

3

LLG have functional school
management committees in
all schools

LLG availed SMC minutes for
the different schools.

- **Podut Primary School**
- SMC meeting held on 14/6/2024.
- **Actions points noted**

1. Recruit gaurd
2. locking borehole
3. lobby chlorine
4. Leving a fee on borehole.

- Payangango Primary School
- SMC Minutes for SMC meeting held on 16/3/2023.
- **Actions points noted**

1. Emptying of pit latrine
2. Feeding learners are mandatory

- SMC minutes for SMC meeting held on 3/8/2023
- Action points noted from the minutes

1. Fencing poles
2. Termites should be destroyed
3. Absentism to be addressed

- **Papoli Primary School.**
- SMC meeting minutes of meeting held at school quarters.
- **Action points noted**

1. Need for frequent meetinga
2. Pupils should be discouraged to avoid bad peers.

- Magola Primary School
- SMC minutes for SMC meeting held on 22/2/2024

Key isuses noted in the minutes.

1. Lack of mid day meal
2. Diplated blocks
3. Lack of scholastic materials.

23	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY Maximum score is 3	LLG conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery. LLG availed evidence of school Health Promotion in poyameri adn St.Philips
	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0	3 Key objectives noted for the awareness conducted 1. To promote Public Health in schools through Key findings 1. 19 girls received HPV vaccines 2. 462 children dewormed.

The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY

Maximum score is 4

Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY , score 4 or else score 0

LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY

- LLG availed a monitoring report conducted on 18/4/2024 by SAS Nahirya or poyameri HC III
 - **Key findings from the report**
1. Shortage of drugs at the facility such as coarterm adn proton
 2. Poor time management at the facility

Recommendations

1. Need to exapnd the outpateint space to accomodate all clients.

The Monitoring was conducted in second quarter 2023/2024 submitted to CAO's office on 29th Decemeber, 2023 by SAS Nahirya Peace on the follllwoing Health Aspects.

1. Family plannign services by marie stopes and reproductive health Uganda
2. Drug delivery by National Medical store Cycle of 4,5, and 6
3. General out patient care and immunization.

Challenges noted

1. No staff quarters
2. Drug stockouts
3. Inadequate human resources

Recommendations suggested

1. Need for additional staff
2. Provision of stores
3. LLG also availed First quarter monitoring submitted to CAO's office on 29/9/2023.

25

Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee

Maximum score is 3

LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG

LLG avaield compsoition of the HUMC

Poyameri HC III

1. Okimaru Charless
2. Nyafwono Gladys
3. Angura Emma
4. Alowo Catherine
5. Osinde Joseph
6. Omalla Andrew
7. Alowo Jane
8. Obbo Walter
9. Ochola John

- HUMC minutes dated 7/01/2024

• Actions plans

1. Lobby for funds
2. Askari to ve cationed
3. Community sensistization and Mobilization

- HUMC minutes for meeting held on 27/10/2023

• Action points noted

1. Borehole Management; To be locked by 6:00pm
2. Rewards to staff : PHC fund to be set aside for rewards
3. Staff motivation : End of year party
4. Better faciliattion to HUMC members: Revise current transport refund.

Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0 3

Assessment area: J. Water & Environment Services Management

26

Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets

Maximum score is 3

Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0 3

LLG SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY.

At time of assessment LLG avaield a request letter received by CAO's office on 16/4/2024 requiring attention of DWO to consider borehole installation in Magola Sub County.

LLG also availed a request written by LC I pawagweri A magola Sub County dated 4/9/2023 and received by water office on 18/9/2023.

27	The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY Maximum score is 3	Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0	3	LLG SAS monitored and supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities. LLG availed a report dated 9/01/2024 Findings 1. Water user committe in place and active 2. Water source is fenced 3. Water collecting containers dirty Recomendations 1. Sensitize water users Report compiled by Ongala Isaac LLG also availed community water source inventory and monitoring in Asinge - Poyameri HC III Poyameri Primary School, Rainer HS.
28	Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score 0	0	LLG does not have functional Water and Sanitation Committees. At time of assessment LLG did not avail composition of the committee and any minutes of meetings to determine the extent of implementation.
29	Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0	2	Report dated 26th June on the status of water sources in magola sub county submitted by Ongala Isaac Health Inspector. Community water source inventory and monitoring, it shows clearly the water sources , and status in terms of fence, functionality Distance to the nearest latrine, types of pipes used, water user committee.

Assessment area: L. Production Services Management

34	Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	2	Production Statistics for Veterinary on livestock submitted to DPO on 6/5/2023 covering magola and poyameri, Papoli and Gule parishes. Also seen are the Agricultural data collection form for all the parishes. Agricultural statistical data collection submitted to DPOs office on 10th December,2023 by Olowo Godfrey.
35	Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings Maximum score is 2	If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	2	Awareness report by Vetinary officer was submitted to DPO on 6/05/2024 and it was awareness to livestock farmers on new diseases situation in the subcounty. Awareness report by crop was not submitted to DPO by the tiome of assessment dated 20/11/2023. Awareness on general Agricultural services conducted by Olowo Godfrey submitted to DPOs office on 3rd , April 2024.
36	The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	2	LLG availed activity monitoring reports for crop submitted to DPO on 4/10/2023 on rice growers learning on farm. On 11/01/2023 on youth farmers of chili 3/04/2023 on scouting of african army worms activity monitoring report by veterinary dated 9/10/2023, 19/12/2023, 5/04/2024 covering aspects of PDM implementation and disease surveillance. Supervision and monitoring report of PDM farmers dated 22nd Nov. 2023 in Magola Subcounty conducted by

37	Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	2	LLG extension staff did not carry out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets on farm training reports on pests and disease surveillance, soil conservation and management submitted to DPO Office on 4th Oct.2023.
38	The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	2	LLG availed field reports submitted to DPO on 3-18/03/2024 on monitoring PDM farmers 18/03/2024 field report on treatment of goats and check and deliver ear tags as well as assessing the plan to put P.O.P on a fractured calf. Field Vist report conducted on 16/2/2024 submitted to DPO office on 3/04/2024 Field report conducted on 20/11/2023 on technical backstopping of farmers (113 in number) visited; report submitted to DPO on 4/10/2023 Field Vist report of 30 farmers submitted to DPO on 11/01/2024 Field Vist report conducted on 29/06/2023 submitted to DPO office on 11/07/2024.