



## **LLG Performance Assessment**

LLG Performance Assessment

Morukatipe Subcounty

(Vote Code: 273860)

**Score** 72/100 (72%)

No.	Performance Measure	Scoring Guide	Score Justification
<b>Assessment area:</b> A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures			
1	<p>The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>		<p>LLG aviled PDM Guidelines.</p> <p>LLG availed composition of PDC for respective parishes.</p> <p><b>Angolol Parish</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Ekanyang John : LC II Chairperson</li> <li>2. Aseu Apollo : Elderly</li> <li>3. Operu Borniface :PWD</li> <li>4. Amulenyi Joyce: Women Representative.</li> <li>5. Ologe Hector: Youth representative.</li> <li>6. Emaruh John: NRM representative</li> </ol> <p><b>Morukatipe Parish</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Oyapei Fananas: LC II Chairperson</li> <li>2. Amase Kevin: Women Representative.</li> <li>3. Omoit Godfrey: NRM representative.</li> <li>4. Okoth Roman: Parish Chief</li> <li>5. Esike Bonifcae: Youth Representative.</li> <li>6. Ekiring Michael: PWD</li> </ol> <p><b>Nyalakot Parish</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Ekanyang John: LC II Chairperson</li> <li>2. Akio Jacqueline Florence: Parish Chief</li> <li>3. Emojong Lino: NRM Representative.</li> <li>4. Odong Dominic: Youth Representative</li> <li>5. Okiru Ernest: PWDs</li> <li>6. Amase Margreet: Women Representative</li> <li>7. Opolot Johnson: Elderly</li> </ol>

Representative

### **Aputir Parish**

1. Oyapel Fanana :  
LC II Chairperson
2. Ayeet Anne: Parish  
Chief
3. Okiria Richard:  
Elderly
4. Okolong Lawrence:  
PWD  
Representative
5. Akwede Florence:  
Women  
Representative.
6. Amojong Agnes:  
NRM  
representative

LLG also availed PDC meeting minutes for the resptive parish

### **Nyalakot Parish**

1. Q1 minutes dated 20/07/2023 On verification of vetted PDM beneficiaries.
2. Q2 minutes dated 19/12/2023 on village/parish priorities.
3. Q4 minutes dated 16/05/2024 on issues affecting the parish in education and PDM beneficiaries.

### **Morukatipe Parish**

1. Q3 minutes dated 8/01/2024 on Parish Priorities
2. Q4 Minutes dated 24/05/2024 on

### **Aputir Parish**

1. PDC minutes dated 21/12/2023

### **Discussed findings**

1. There is need for early reporting to school
2. The Health In-Charge needs to eb transfered

Minutes of Joint PDC and village Council Meeting held on 25/01/2024 at Aputir Primary School

Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.

2

## Action Points

1. Members agreed for the following prioritises to be forwarded for planning and budgeting at subcounty level
2. Community Access road at Aputir; Angorom South to Angorom South road
3. Minutes of meetings held on 26/06/2024; Monitoring of PDM enterprise

## Angolol Parish

1. Q2 minutes dated 4th December, 2023 on how to conduct monitoring of PDM beneficiaries and other programs.

LLG availed list for Proposals submitted for revolving fund.

1. Angolol 51 Proposals
2. Nyalakot Parish: 50 Parishes
3. Morukatipe Parish: 71 Parishes
4. Aputir Parish: 50 Proposal

- 2
- LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines.
- Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.

2

LLG availed Parish data for the previous FY compiled, updated, analyzed and disaggregated by village, gender, age, and economic activity for the respective parishes.

- 3
- The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish
- Evidence that the LLG:
- i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs & CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0

2

LLG mapped NGOs, CBOs & CSO operating in the LLG.

LLG availed a list of 5 NGOs/CBOs at time of assessment.

Maximum score is 2

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:

ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0

0

LLG did not provide guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY as during time of assessment, LLG did not avail any evidence to this effect.

LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish.

Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:

iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0

2

At time of assessment, LLG availed List of priority enterprises in morukatipe Parish, Nyalakot Parish, Angolol Parish, Aputir Parish

1. In Morikaptipe; Cassava, Piggery and poutyr priorities.
2. In Nyalakot Parish; Cassava, diary, and Maize priorities.
3. In Angolol Parish; Cassava, Maize and didary; Priorities.
4. In Aputir Parish; Piggery, Cassava and Maize Priorities.

**Assessment area:** B. Planning and Budgeting

The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:

i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan III; score 1 or else 0

0

There is no consistency established.

LLG development plan under annualized workplan year five for works and technical services enatils maintenance of saba saba-kasipodo to mankor CAR

Annual Workplan on page 5 enatils: Opening of Asinge C to Asinge B to Osukuru T/C

LLG budget enatils opening of road without specification of name of road and distacne therein.

Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:

ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson score 1 or else 0.

0

LLG did not avail Parish priorities to establish if AWP and budget incorporates the priorities which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson.

Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:

iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0

0

LLG did not avail budget conference report to ascertain whether the outcomes are embedded in the AWP and Budget for current FY

iv. That the LLG budget include investments to be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0

0

LLG budget does not include investments to be financed by the LLG

v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0

0

LLG did not develop project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III

vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0

0

LLG submitted budget to District on 17/06/2024 which is beyond the time stipulated in assessment of by 15th May.

5	Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement	Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0	0	LLG did not avail evidence of procurement plan detailing procurements to be undertaken this current FY to establish if it was submitted in the previous FY by 30th April as required.	
Maximum score is 2	6	Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY	Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0	0	<p>DDEG IPF: 12,499,654</p> <p>80% component for Infrastructure development: 9,999,973</p> <p>Investments</p> <p>Opening of Akoret TC to tal including bridge. The amount not havingrequired minimum of percentage allocation.</p> <p>10% component for investment servcing including monitoring and evaluation.</p> <p>In the LLG budget stipulates monitoring and supervision of governemnt program at Ug.Shs. 1,542,355 under Administration department</p> <p>8% component for parish pallning including data collection: 999,972</p> <p>Not stipulated in LLG budget</p> <p>2% Component 249,993</p> <p>Not well stipulated in the bduengt and LLG did not avail committee for nutrition.</p>
Maximum score is 2					

**Assessment area:** C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration

7

LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization)

Maximum score is 1

Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.

LLG availed AFS for previous FY

On page 11 of AFS

OSR budget = 42,264,224

OSR actual: 56,,990,017

- Percentatge performance =  $\frac{42,264,224}{56,,990,017} \times 100$
- = 74.2%
- LLG OSR collection stands at 74.2% which is not within +\_10% required percentage perormance for OSR collection.

8

Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year.

Maximum score 1

Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0

Annual financial statements for the previous FY were not available however previous FY but one were availbel.

AFS for previous year but one

Actual OSR collected: 54,735,155

FY 2023/2024: 56,990,017

Increment amount= 2,254,862

- Increment Percentatge=  $\frac{2,254,862}{54,735,155} \times 100$
- = 4.1%
- LLG OSR collected by 4.1% which is not by more than 5% required by assessment.

The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY

Maximum score 4

Evidence that the LLG:

i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.

0

LLG did not remit mandatory share of OSR to the administrative units as required that;

- Villages : 25%
- Parishes : 10%
- 
- LLG did not avail Transfer vouchers for evidence of any remittance.

Evidence that the LLG:

ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0

1

20% of OSR =  
11,398,003.

On page 42 of AFS;  
2,925,000

LLG used less than 20% OSR on councillors allowances which is within the 20%.

5% of OSR= 2,849,501

O&M expenditures

Administration  
department

Lumpsummed on the component of General supply of goods and services = 14,648,000

Evidence that the LLG:

iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0

0

LLG availed payment vouchers as detailed below;

Being payment for repair of motorcycle Reg Number; UG 3944R at 942,000

However LLG did not use at least 5% of OSR on O&M because LLG spent 942,000 against 2,849,501 required amount.

Evidence that the LLG:

iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.

0

LLG publicized OSR for another FY not year under assessment.

10	The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0	4	LLG availed AFS for previous FY submitted to the Office of Auditor General dated 30 August, 2024 which is within required time frame of by 31st August.
11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:	1	LLG submitted quarter one financial and physical progress report on 13/10/2023 which is within required time frame of by 15th October
		i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0		
		Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:	1	LLG submitted quarter two financial and physical progress report on 8/01/2024 which is within required time frame of by 15th January
		ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0		
		Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:	1	LLG submitted quarter three financial and physical progress report on 12/04/2024 which is within required time frame of by 15th April
		iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0		
		Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:	3	LLG submitted quarter four financial and physical progress report on 9/07/2023 which is within required time frame of by 30th July
		iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0		

**Assessment area:** E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery

12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:	2	Ayet Anne- Parish Chief appraised on 25th /06/2024  Isaac Mubiyi - Parish Chief appraised on 15th /6/2024  Apio Jacqueline Florence Parish Chief - Appraised on 25th /06/2024
		(i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0		

Headteachers Appraisal

Aputir Primary School

Owaret Stanley  
appraised on 15th  
/12/2023

Osire Community

Akituk Sylvia appraised  
on 21/12/2023 by  
magara Stephen.

Tororo Prisons

Ofwono Paul appraised  
on 31/12/2023

Atipe Rock Primary  
School

Anyangat Ezerine  
appraised on  
10/11/2023

Oryoi

Akongo Anna Rose  
appraised on 3/12/2023

and Morikatipe Primary  
schools Head teachers  
was appraised on 29th  
/12/2023

Yeko Winny- In-Charge  
Morikatipe HC11  
appraised on 25th  
/06/2024

Nawire Philip- Incharge  
Nyalakot HC11  
appraised on 21/6/2024

Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised  
staff in the LLG:

(ii) Primary School Head teachers in public  
primary schools in the previous school calendar  
year (by 31st December) - score 2 or else 0

2

Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised  
staff in the LLG:

(iii) HC III & II In-charges in the previous FY (by  
June 30th) - score 2 or else

2

13

Staff duty attendance

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that the LLG has

(i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else  
0

3

LLG publicized list if  
LLG staf on notice

1. Isaac Mubiyi:  
Parish Chief
2. Ayeet Anne: Parish  
Chief
3. Obbo Moses:  
Assistant  
Agricultural  
Officeer
4. Nekesea Damali:  
Parish Chief
5. Akoyoroit  
Christine: Health  
Inspector

LLG produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO.

1. Okoth Roman Rhapheal: 19/20: Good
2. Isaac Mubiyi: 19/20: Good
3. Ayeet Anne: 19/20: Good
4. Obbo Moses: 09/20: Fair
5. Nekesa Damali: 15/20 : Good
6. Akoyoroit Christine: 9/20: Fair because works in two places

Evidence that the LLG has

(ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0

3

The monthly analysis of staff attendance availed during assessment includes;

month	Date of submission to CAOs office
July /8/2023	7th
Aug	8th /8/2023
Oct	14/Nov/2023
Nov	5/Dec/2023
Dec /Jan/2023	8th
Jan	5/2/2024
Feb	2/4/2024
march	2/4/204
April	10/5/2024
May	7/6/2024
June	3rd July 2024

**Assessment area:** F. Implementation and Execution

14

The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities

Maximum score is 2

DDEG IPF : 16,569,811

- 80% component for Infrastruture development : 13,271,848
- **Investments**

1. Completion of Sub County

Administration  
Block: 1,603, 000  
being payment for  
supply of cement;  
voucher serial  
number: 1886.

2. Maintenance works  
for CARS=  
5,254,750

- LLG stipulated the expenditures on page 45 of AFS under component of General supply of goods and services
- LLG did not avail progress report detailing the status of the investment projects executed using DDEG funds.
- 
- 10%: investment servicing including monitoring and evaluation: 1,656,981
- On page 45 of AFS under allowances component in administration
- LLG did not avail quarterly monitoring report to ascertain what was monitored facilitated under DDEG grant.
- 
- 8% for parish planning including data collection: 1,325,585
- On page 45 of AFS under allowances component in administration
- LLG did not avail data collection report
- 
- 2% nutrition coordination committee: 331,396
- On page 46 of AFS under allowances component in Community Development.
- Minutes for meetings held by Nutrition Coordination committee not availed.

Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0

0

15	<p>The LLG spent the funds as per budget</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%: Score 2</p>	0	<p>LLG did not avail details of the budget and actual amounts on the main sector programs/Activities.</p> <p>As such the assessment team could not ascertain the percentage performance of budget execution of main sector programs.</p>
16	<p>Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget</p> <p>Maximum score is 3</p>	<p>Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four) :</p> <p>If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3</p> <p>If 70% -90%: Score 2</p> <p>If less than 70 %: Score 0.</p>	0	<p>LLG did not avail progress report to review completion rate of the planned projects as per workplan by end of FY (quarter four)</p>

**Assessment area:** G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

17	<p>The LLG has implemented environmental and social safeguards during the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/projects, score 2 or else score 0</p>	2	<p>LLG carried environmental, social and climate change screening.</p> <p>LLG availed screening forms for the following project(s)</p> <p>Opening of Asinge C to osukuru TC road located in Asinge C, Angolol Morukatipe Sub County conducted by Obbo Moses and Nekesa Damali on 18/3/2024.</p>
----	---	--	---	---

18	<p>The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0</p>	1	<p>LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances,</p> <p>LLG availed a copy of Grievance Redress mechniam dated 24/07/2023 signed by Nekesa Damali</p> <p>LLG also availed a complaints log book detailing thre Grievances and complaints reported and handled by the subcounty.</p>
		<p>(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0</p>	1	<p>LLG display the grievance redress mechanism dated 24/7/2023 on the notice board</p>
19	<p>The LLG has a functional land management system</p> <p>Maximum score 1</p>	<p>If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0</p>	1	<p>LLG have a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land.</p> <p>The composition includes James Etuket Chairperson</p> <p>Asiepet Elizabeth Member</p> <p>Oketcho Moses</p> <p>Amit Grace</p> <p>Emojong Line</p> <p>LLG availed Meeting minutes dated 12/8/2024</p> <p><b>Actions noted from the meeting.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Sensitization on Land surverying and titling in all parishes.</li> </ol>

**Assessment area:** H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)

20	<p>Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 3</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0</p>	3	<p>LLG conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery.</p> <p>LLG availed a awareness report on child neglegance dated 29/03/2024</p>
<p><b>Key findings noted in the report</b></p>				
<p>1. Parents to visit children and pay uniforms</p>				
21	<p>Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools</p> <p>Maximum score is 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY:</p> <p>If all schools (100%) - score 4</p> <p>If 80 - 99% - score 2</p> <p>If 60 to 79% score 1</p> <p>Below 60% score 0</p>	0	<p>LLG did not avail monitoring reports to determine whether the LLG monitored all schools in the previous 3 terms</p>
22	<p>Existence and functionality of School Management Committees</p> <p>Maximum score is 3</p>			<p>Atipe rock primary school SMC meeting held on 25th /07/2023</p> <p>Aputir Primary School SMC meeting held on 14th /6/2024</p> <p>-All children should be on school uniform</p> <p>-teachers and pupils should avavoid late coming.</p> <p>-The watchman should be strict.</p> <p>Jojnt SMC / PTA Executive meeting held on 17th /7/2023</p> <p>-All children should be on school uniform</p> <p>-Teachers and pupils should avoid late coming</p> <p>Tororo Prisons SMC</p>

Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, 3 else score 0

meeting held on 11/july/2023- demarcation of school land, avoid encroachment of school compound

Osire ,

Oriyoi SMC meeting held on 12/3/2024- buy text books for candidate classes, Involve parents in guidance and counselling of pupils, SMC Meeting held on 10/8/2023, fencing of the school should be given priority.

Morikatipe SMC Meetings held on 25/7/2024

wayforward

church fee to be contributed

parents to be called for AGM

Lobby for staff quarters

SMC meeting held on 1st march 2024- school garden to be used for planting maize, beans

SMC meeting held on 11/10/2023- all children to pay development fee., parents to be sensitized on their roles, enforce feeding of learners.

**Assessment area:** I. Primary Health Care Services Management

23	<p>Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 3</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0</p>	3	<p>LLG conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery.</p> <p>LLG availed a report dated 18/4/2024 on improved latrine coverage in Morukatipe Parish</p>
				<p><b>Key action point noted</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Avoid Open defecation.</li> </ol>
24	<p>The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 4</p>	<p>Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY , score 4 or else score 0</p>	4	<p>Quarter four monitoring report submitted to CAO s office on 28th June 2023</p> <p>monitored family planning uptake, drugs and accessibility of site.</p> <p>Quarter 3 monitoring submitted to CAOs office on 29th March/2023</p> <p>Quarter 3 monitoring submitted to CAOs office on 22/12/2023</p>
25	<p>Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee</p> <p>Maximum score is 3</p>			<p>Nyalakot HC11 HUMC</p> <p>The HUMC is composed of Emodo Simon</p> <p>Nabwere Philis</p> <p>Apio Jacqueline Florence</p> <p>Akituku Sylvia</p> <p>Etyang Joseph</p> <p>Otwai Steven</p> <p>Seen workplan and bidget foor 2023/2024</p> <p>HUMC minutes held on 8/9/2023</p> <p>need for joint mass mobilization</p> <p>outreach sites</p> <p>HUMC meeting held on 7/10/2024 members</p>

Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0

3

discussed accountability issues, good working relationship

HUMC meeting held on 28/3/2024 members discussed access road to the facility.

HUMC Meeting held on 7/6/2024 concern over latrine filled up, the need for more sensitization of the community on health behavioral change.

Morikatipe Health Centre HUMC minutes

Composition

Yeko winny

Ouriat stanley

Opili lawrence

Okiru constance

Esike lawrence

Akware Harriet

Dated 20th march 2023- poor working relationship among staff.

HUMC minutes dated 7/10/2023- approval of annual workplan.

HUMC minutes dated 18th Dec/2023- issues discussed includes live fence, grazing of animals on the facility.

**Assessment area:** J. Water & Environment Services Management

26

Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets

Maximum score is 3

Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0

3

SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY.

A request for water source dated 8th /8/2023 submitted to CAOs office on 9th /8/2023 for boreholes to be drilled in Kaporibelai, Aputiri East and morikatipe zones for consideration in the current financial year.

The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY

Maximum score is 3

Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3

SAS monitored and supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities.

LLG availed reports for Quarter one and two conducted on 25/2/2024 on HYSAN, water sources in Aputir, Morukatipe, Nyalakot, Angolol.

Water sources include; boreholes, taps, spring protection, and shallow wells.

1. Aputir= 13 villages
2. Morukatipe: 10 villages
3. Nyalakot Parish: 11 villages
4. Angolol Parish: 9 villages

Number of villages without water sources.

1. Aputir: 1(Angorom)
2. Nyalakot: 1(Ebudeyo)
3. Angololo: 1 (Alupe)

Report compiled by Amojong Ruth: Health Assistant

LLG also availed Sub County Environment HHealth Annual Report received by ADHO on 31/5/2024

Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees

Maximum score is 2

Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score 0

2

LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees.

LLG availed minutes for meetings held by the water user committees.

Minutes dated 3/7/2023 for Aduma Spring well held at Asinge A centre

**Key issues noted in the minutes**

1. Water sources must be cleared once a month
2. Every member pays for O&M fee of Ug.Shs.1,000 signed by Chairperson and Secretary

**Oriyoi B water user committee held on 15/7/2023**

**Key issues noted in the minutes**

1. All O&M contribution should be kept at the treasury

**Atipe zone Water user committee held on 15/8/2023.**

Issues discussed in the minutes.

1. Children damaging the pump.

**Morukatipe Water user committee meeting held on 15/6/2024.**

Key issues

1. Every household must pay the money signed by Etyag John Stephn.

29	<p>Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0</p>	2	<p>LLG have an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities</p> <p>A Report on water sources dated 28/02/2024</p> <p>Nyalakot: 74; Functional 61</p> <p>Angololo : 56 ; Functional 48</p> <p>Compiled by Health Assistant</p>
----	--	---	---	---

**Assessment area:** L. Production Services Management

34	<p>Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.</p>	2	<p>Statistical reports for crop submitted to DPO for Q4 on 8/7/2024 for animal submitted to DPO on 4/6/2024 covering animals and crops.</p> <p>LLG availed agricultural data collected and submitted to DPO on 27/06/2024.</p>
35	<p>Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0</p>	2	<p>LLG carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture.</p> <p>LLG availed awareness report dated 29th/05/2024 submitted to DPO</p> <p>Training on PDM enterprise and sensitization on government programs submitted to DPO on 27th June, 2024</p>

36	<p>The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0</p>	2	<p>LLG extension staff implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production</p> <p>LLG availed monitoring report for crop dated 8/7/2024.</p> <p>Vetinary monitoring report submitted 2/1/2024 on PDM and UgiFT, UCDA.</p> <p>Monitoring report dated 27/06/2024 submitted to DPO on 27/06/2024.</p>
37	<p>Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.</p>	2	<p>LLG extension staff carried out farmer trainings.</p> <p>LLG availed Q3 crop training submitted to DPO on 22/05/2024 on agronomy of maize and rice</p> <p>Q4 vetinary training submitted to DPO on 4/07/2024 on aspects of diary, piggery and poultry management.</p>
38	<p>The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0</p>	2	<p>LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups</p> <p>LLG availed field reports for Vetinary submitted to DPO on 5/04/2024 to ascertain feeding patterns and at their management of the animals bought using PDM funds.</p> <p>Field report for crop submitted to DPO on 22/05/2024 about crop management and pest control</p> <p>Field visit report submitted to DPO on 27th June, 2024</p>