

LLG Performance Assessment

LLG Performance Assessment
Nabuyoga Subcounty
(Vote Code: 236984)

Score 42/100 (42%)

No. Performance Scoring Guide Score Justification

Assessment area: A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures

1

The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards

Maximum score is 2

PDMIS guide may

2022

List of PDC's

Lugingi Parish

Ofwono Abraham-

Youth

Olweny soul-LCII

Jamwa Julius-PWD

Opendi John-Elder

Achieng Christine-

women

Okello James- NRM

Obbo Sylvester-P/C

Namwanga Parish

Mugata moses- LCII

Okello silver-Elderly

Aya Jckline- Women

Obbo Alfred-PWD

Okello James- NRM

Obbo Syvester-p/c

Olowo Partick-Youth

Namwanga Central

Olowo Patrick- youth

Oketcho Achaya-

Elderly

Owor Moses-p/c

Mugata Moses-LCII

Aya Jackline- women

Obbo Alfred-PWD

Okello James-NRM

PDC minutes

2

Lugingi parish

Minutes dated 15th/01/2024 on PDM implementation

Minutes dated 25th/09/2023 PDM

Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.

and other issues affecting the parish.

Meeting held on 14th/2/2024

Wanted user committee functionality

Need to functionalize with where it is dormant

Namwanga parish

Minutes dated 19th/06/2024 on PDM activities on PDM implementation

Minutes of PDC meeting held on 19th/01/2024

Action point

Monitoring of government progress mobilization and sensitization.

Namwanga Central

Minutes dated 16th/09/2023 on PDM implementation

Minutes dated 11th/06/2024 providing an update on PDM disbursement

List of Proposals

Namwanga Central-50

Lugingi-50

Namwanga -50

LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines.

2

Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.

Parish data from PDMIS available for the three parishes

2

Maximum score is 2

The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish

Evidence that the LLG:

i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs & CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0

No report on mapping of NGOs/CBOs provided by the time of assessment.

0

0

2

0

1

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:

ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0

No evidence of guidance provided on approved programs/activities.

Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:

iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0

Priority enterprises was guided by LLG extension staff and they selected coffee, piggery, groundnuts, poultry.

Assessment area: B. Planning and Budgeting

4

The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:

i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan III; score 1 or else 0

The LLG council approved work plan and Budget for the current financial year is not consistent with the approved development plan.

There is inconsistence on the roads planned for and the ones maintained for example Manyinyi B to Namwanga catholic`, lugingi, trading centre on DPIII we magole

Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:

ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson score 1 or else 0.

Priority was road maintenance that is lingingi, Namwanga, Namwanga parish road opening, electricity

were noted at parish level.

Priority of maintenance of ligingi, Namwanga road were incorporated in the AWPB.

Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:	0	No budget conference report availed to the assessment team.	
iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0			
iv. That the LLG budget include investments to be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0	0	No investment to be financed by the LLG included in the budget.	
v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0	0	No project profile availed to the assessment team.	
vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0	1	Approved work plan 2023/2024 submitted by 13th/04/2024	
Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0	2	The procurement plan was submitted to PDU on 29th April/2024	

5 Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement

Maximum score is 2

Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY

Maximum score is 2

The DDEG budget and work plan submitted to CAO's office on 14th/06/2024 by Oboth Charles SAS.

Opening of Bujwala-Magokha- pawira – pumbelo and installation of currents in four pouls and two road junctions in lingingi

Screening purchase of tree seedlings.

=6,528,826

2

80% +1,000,000/7,528,826

Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0

Nutrition committee= 2%= 188,221

10% (Bank charges-99,242

Monitoring and evaluation of government projects

841,861

941,103

Total amount received DDEG =9,411,0033

8% parish planning(Data collection)= 752,882

Assessment area: C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration

7	LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization) Maximum score is 1	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.	0	No Annual financial statements and budget for the previous FY availed to the assessment team to ascertain this .	
8	Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year. Maximum score 1	Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0		No Annual financial statements for the previous FY and previous FY but one to enable assessment team ascertain this.	
9	The LLG has properly	Evidence that the LLG:			
	managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY	i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	0	No annual workplan and AFS presented to the assessment team.	
	Maximum score 4				
		Evidence that the LLG:			
		ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0	0	No AFS presented to the assessment team.	
		Evidence that the LLG:			
		iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0		No AFS presented to the assessment team.	
		Evidence that the LLG:		The LLG did not	
		iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.		Publicized OSR and how it was used .	
Ass	essment area: D. Finar				
10	The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time Maximum score is 4 Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0		0	No evidence availed to the assessment team so we could not ascertain the dates.	

The LLG has submitted Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, all 4 quarterly financial for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer and physical progress Q1 PBS report reports including including on the funding for the PDM on time: submitted on = 0 finances for the Parish 23rd/October/2023 i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0 Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format Maximum score is 6 Evidence that the LLG submitted all four Q2 PBS report quarterly financial and physical progress reports, submitted on = for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer 0 16th/Jan/2024 including on the funding for the PDM on time: ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0 Evidence that the LLG submitted all four Q3 PBS report quarterly financial and physical progress reports, submitted on for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer =5th/4/2024including on the funding for the PDM on time: iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0 Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, Q4 PBS report for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer 3 submitted on = including on the funding for the PDM on time: 12th/7/2024 iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0 Assessment area: E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery 12 Appraisal of all staff in Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised the LLG in the previous staff in the LLG: No evidence of appraisal of all staff (i) All staff in the LLG including extension 0 Maximum score is 6 workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score availed to the 2 or else 0 assessment team. Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised No evidence of staff in the LLG: appraisal of all 0 headteachers availed (ii) Primary School Head teachers in public to the assessment primary schools in the previous school calendar team. year (by 31st December) - score 2 or else 0 Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised Incharge Kiyei HC11 staff in the LLG:

(iii) HC III & II In-charges in the previous FY (by

June 30th) - score 2 or else

2

was appraised on 27th

/06/2024

Staff duty attendance Evidence that the LLG has

Maximum score is 6 (i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 3

Staff list was displayed on the sub county wall.

Evidence that the LLG has

(ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0

The monthly analyzed staff attendance was not availed to the assessment team

0

Assessment area: F. Implementation and Execution

14

The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities

Maximum score is 2

Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, 0 budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0

No Annual Financial Statement9,411,031 to briefed AFS DDEG Grant used fumigation and painting of ligingi HCII 80% = 7,628,0002% Nutrition= 188220 10% = 941.1038% = 752,882 dataThe DDEG work plan submitted does not have the project fumigation inspected and painting of ligingi HCII rather it has ;-Maintenance of magoka-munyinyi through Namwanga catholic church corner bar.

The LLG spent the funds as per budget

Maximum score is 2

Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%:

Score 2

No AFS availed to the assessment team to determine whether LLG did not deviate.

Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget

Maximum score is 3

Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four) :

If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3

If 70% -90%: Score 2

If less than 70 %: Score 0.

Fumigation and painting of lingingi HCII, Contractor: M/s Musaros ways limited

DDEG

Inspection report seen

Findings

Internal painting done

Replacement of windows and door glasses

Replacement of fasteners and stays done

Partial extension painting

3

Replacement of rotten fascia board done

Replacement of shelve shutters done

Replacement of ventilators mesh

Replacement of door locks

Developed by Julius Onyango (Assisstant engineering) and Oboth Charles SAS

Intern payment certificate no 1 to contractor contract sum paid 6,718,902.

Assessment area: G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

17

The LLG has implemented environmental and social safeguards during the previous FY

Maximum score is 2

Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0

The incomplete document was availed to the assessment team.

The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System

Maximum score is 2

(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0

There was no evidence presented to the assessment team.

(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0

There was no evidence on the notice board to show that LLG publicized the grievance redress mechanism.

19

The LLG has a functional land management system

Maximum score 1

If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0

Appointment

0

1

Ochieng Nelson dated 1/9/2021

Owino William minutes no. 07/FUL/08/2021

Akadwado Owori minutes no. 07/FUL/08/2021

Olwenyi Judith minutes no. 07/FUL/08/2021

Okello Obonyo Minute No. 07/FUL/08/2021

Area land committee meeting held on 13th/04/2024

The committee should be exemplary

the community should be informed to take advantage of the ALC to survey their land.

Assessment area: H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)

Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY

Maximum score is 3

Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0

There was no report on awareness campaigns and parents mobilization for improvement of Education services.

The assessment team was able to see some minutes for PTA meetings.

Provision of midday meals min.7/4/2024

Only Namwanga p/s min 18/3/2026

21
Monitoring of service
delivery in basic
schools

Maximum score is 4

Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY:

If all schools (100%) - score 4

If 80 - 99% - score 2

If 60 to 79% score 1

Below 60% score 0

Monitoring report in place 29th/09/2022 quarter 1

Quarter 3 29th/12/2023

Quarter 4 28th/3/2024

4

All quarters had reports for all the schools Nabuyoga, Lingingi, Namwanga and Bujwala

22

Existence and functionality of School Management Committees

Maximum score is 3

Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, 0 else score 0

Bujwala p/s 22nd/3/2024

18th/3/2024 Namwanga P/S

No implementation plan

Lingingi 23rd/3/2024

Nabuyoga pls 29th//1/2023

Namwanga 20th/7/2023

No minutes of SMC for all terms

Assessment area: I. Primary Health Care Services Management

23 Awareness campaigns and mobilization on Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness primary health care One awareness report campaigns and mobilized communities for conducted in last FY 0 for one parish of improved primary health care service delivery Namwanga not dated. score 3, else score 0 Maximum score is 3 24 The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health No monitoring reports the previous FY service delivery during the previous FY , score 4 presented during 0 or else score 0 assessment Maximum score is 4 25 Existence and No evidence of functionality of Health functionality of HUMC Unit Management Evidence that the LLG have functional Health presented to the Committee unit Management Committee for all Health 0 assessment team Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0 Maximum score is 3 No minutes presented to the assessment team. Assessment area: J. Water & Environment Services Management 26 Evidence that the LLGs Request for borehole submitted requests to in Namwanga B, Namwanga central the DWO for consideration in the parish written by SAS current FY budgets oboth Charles submitted to CAO's Maximum score is 3 office on 26th/4/2024 Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the Request for borehole 3 in lingingi parish, planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0 pombelo B Zone dated 18th/08/2023 submitted to CAO's office by Oboth Charles on 18th/08/2023

27

The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY

Maximum score is 3

Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0

No evidence of monitoring water facilities presented during assessment.

0

Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees

Maximum score is 2

Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score 0

No minutes of water user committee availed during assessment.

29

Functionality of investments in water

Maximum score is 2

and sanitation facilities Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) 0 and functionality status. Score 2 else 0

No updated lists on all water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status presented during assessment.

Assessment area: L. Production Services Management

34

Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported

Maximum score is 2

If the LLG extension staff have collected. analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key 2 commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.

Production statistics not seen at the time of assessment.

Agriculture data collection report submitted on 4th/07/2024 by Lowopek Simon peter to DPO office

The data collection questionnaire submitted to DPO on 4th/07/2024

35

Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings

Maximum score is 2

If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0

Awareness report quarter 4 how to control common livestock and poultry diseases submitted on 30th/06/2024 to DPO's office

Ouarter 2. Awareness by crop submitted on 21st/12/2023 on government programs, PDM, NAADS.

2

The LLG has carried out monitoring activities for crops, animals and fisheries

Maximum score is 2

If the LLG extension staff has implemented activities on production monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else

Monitoring reports by crop on mango farmer submitted to DPO on 30th/06/2024

Monitoring report by veterinary on livestock farmers who benefited with pasture demos submitted to DPO on 30th/06/2024

2

2

2

37

Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out

Maximum score is 2

If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.

Training of crop submitted to DPO on 05th/7/2924 and training was on enterprise selection and Agronomy

Training report by veterinary was not presented by the time of assessment to DPO 9TH/10/2023 and training was on how to identify common poultry disease submitted to DPO on 4th/07/2024.

38

The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups

Maximum score is 2

If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0

Field reports by crop presented to DPO on 30th/06/2024 on fertilizer application

Field report by veterinary not presented by the time of assessment

Field visit report submitted to DPO on 24th/5/2024 by lowopek simon peter (AAHO)